

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                               | ,          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/708,692                                    | 03/18/2004 |             | Peter Damion Bellis  | 148042-1                | 2691             |
| 23413   | 7590       | 12/05/2006  |                      | EXAMINER .              |                  |
| CANTOR COLBURN, LLP                           |            |             |                      | CHANG, RICK KILTAE      |                  |
| 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH<br>BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 |            |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |            |             |                      | 3726                    |                  |
|   |            |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |  | 14  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | 10/708,692   | BELLIS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Rick K. Chang  | 3726  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet wit   | h the correspondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC<br>136(a). In no event, however, may a re<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT<br>e, cause the application to become ABA | ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 C  | October 2006.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa   | ance except for formal matte   | ers, prosecution as to the merits is  |  |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under   | ·  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  | ,  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | with thorit consideration.   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | or election requirement.   | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc   |  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  |  | • •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ·  | Adminor. Note the attached   | Cince Action of form 1 10-132.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  | ·   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>   | priority under 35 U.S.C. §   | 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>  | ts have been received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document   | ts have been received in Ap  | plication No  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>  |  | eceived in this National Stage  |  |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Burea   |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not re   | eceived.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 4) Interview Su  | mmary (PTO-413)<br>/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>of record</u> .   |  | ormal Patent Application  |  |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 3726

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 10/20/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is not found persuasive because the applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Furthermore, these inventions are distinct for the reasons given in the last Office Action and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making:
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The inconsistency between the language in the preamble "an apparatus" and certain portions of the body of the claim such as "a proximity probe, a proximity probe offset, an extension cable, a tip of the proximity probe, a fusion, a fusion process, a binder material, a laser weld, electronic components" renders the scope of the claim vague and indefinite because it is unclear if the intent is to claim either the subcombination of the "an apparatus" alone or the combination of the "an apparatus" and "a proximity probe, a proximity probe offset, an extension

Art Unit: 3726

mit: 3720

Page 4

cable, a tip of the proximity probe, a fusion process, a binder material, a laser weld, electronic components". The applicant is asked to please clarify what subject matter the claim is intended to be drawn to, i.e., the subcombination or the combination, where the language of the claim is to be amended to be consistent with this intent. The reader understands that the applicants intended to claim the subcombination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kerr et al (US 5,960,530).

Kerr discloses a metal interface cup (36 and 12); a probe case (14); a first bore (120); a closed end of the first bore (102); a second bore (132 and where 134 is located); 132 is a portion of the second bore intersects 120; 132 is less than half a diameter of 120; 132 and where 134 is located are two bores; 14 is rectangular; a bore in claim 10 is 116 and 104 lies, except for the case to be made with metal. The Kerr reference provides all the structural elements of the claimed limitations; therefore, Kerr is capable of function as an apparatus for attaching a proximity probe offset to an axis defining a metal probe case and an extension cable extending therefrom.

At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to make the case with metal because Applicant has not disclosed that making the case with metal provides an advantage, is used for a particular

Art Unit: 3726

purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected the case to be made with metal to provide strength and durability from continued use.

Page 5

#### Conclusion

- 9. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RC November 29, 2006